arXiv:1704.00271v1 [math.AT] 2 Apr 2017

# A FORMULA FOR $p$-COMPLETION BY WAY OF THE SEGAL CONJECTURE

SUNE PRECHT REEH, TOMER M. SCHLANK, AND NATHANIEL STAPLETON

Abstract. The Segal conjecture describes stable maps between classifying spaces in terms of (virtual) bisets for the finite groups in question. Along these lines, we give an algebraic formula for the p-completion functor applied to stable maps between classifying spaces purely in terms of fusion data and Burnside modules.

## 1. Introduction

The $p$-completion of the classifying spectrum of a finite group is determined by the data of the induced fusion system on a Sylow $p$-subgroup. That is, if $G$ is a finite group, $S \subset G$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup and $\mathcal{F}_G$ is the fusion system on $S$ determined by $G$, then there is an equivalence of spectra

$$(\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p \simeq \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G,$$

where $B\mathcal{F}_G$ is a kind of classifying space associated to the fusion system (see Section 2.8).

The solution to the Segal conjecture provides an algebraic description of the homotopy classes of maps between suspension spectra of finite groups in terms of Burnside modules. In [Rag], a Burnside module between saturated fusions systems is defined. It is a submodule of the $p$-complete Burnside module between the Sylow $p$-subgroups that is characterized in terms of the fusion data. It is shown that this submodule captures the stable homotopy classes of maps between the $p$-completions of suspension spectra of finite groups. The $p$-completion functor induces a natural map of abelian groups

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \to [(\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p, (\Sigma^\infty_+ BH)^\wedge_p].$$

In this paper, we give an algebraic description of this map in terms of fusion data.

Let $G$ and $H$ be finite groups. The proof of the Segal conjecture establishes a canonical natural isomorphism

$$A(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G} \cong [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH]$$

between the completion of the Burnside module of finite $(G,H)$-bisets with free $H$-action at the augmentation ideal of the Burnside ring $A(G)$ and the stable homotopy classes of maps between $BG$ and $BH$. Fix a prime $p$ and Sylow $p$-subgroups $S$ and $T$ of $G$ and $H$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}_G$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ be the fusion systems on the fixed Sylow $p$-subgroups determined by $G$ and $H$. It follows from [BLO2] that there are canonical (independent of the choice of Sylow $p$-subgroup) equivalences of spectra

$$(\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p \simeq \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G \text{ and } (\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p \simeq \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G \vee (S^0)^\wedge_p \simeq (\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p.$$

The first author is supported by the Danish Council for Independent Research's Sapere Aude program (DFF–4002-00224).

The Burnside module for the fusion systems $\mathcal{F}_G$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$, as defined in [Rag], is the submodule

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H) \subset A(S,T)^\wedge_p$$

consisting of "fusion stable" $(S,T)$-bisets. Stability is defined entirely in terms of the fusion data. The restriction of a $(G,H)$-biset ${}_GX_H$ to the Sylow $p$-subgroups $S$ and $T$ induces a map of Burnside modules

$$A(G,H) \to A(S,T) \hookrightarrow A(S,T)^\wedge_p$$

sending ${}_GX_H$ to ${}_SX_T$. This map lands inside the stable elements:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(G,H) & \longrightarrow & A(S,T)^\wedge_p \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H). \end{array}$$

We will write ${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X_{\mathcal{F}_H}$ for ${}_SX_T$ viewed as an element of $\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H)$. Corollary 9.4 of [RS] essentially produces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H) \cong [(\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p, (\Sigma^\infty_+ BH)^\wedge_p].$$

Using the $p$-completion functor

$$(-)^\wedge_p \colon [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \to [(\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p, (\Sigma^\infty_+ BH)^\wedge_p]$$

we can form the composite

$$c\colon A(G,H) \to A(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G} \cong [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \xrightarrow{(-)^\wedge_p} [(\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p, (\Sigma^\infty_+ BH)^\wedge_p] \cong \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H).$$

It is natural to ask for a completely algebraic description of this map in terms of bisets. This is not just the restriction map, an extra ingredient is needed. Let ${}_TH_T$ be the underlying set of $H$ acted on the left and right by $T$. Since this is the restriction of ${}_HH_H$, it is stable so we may consider it as an element ${}_{\mathcal{F}_H}H_{\mathcal{F}_H} \in \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_H, \mathcal{F}_H)$. It is invertible as $|H/T|$ is prime to $p$.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Proposition 3.9) The "completion" map

$$c\colon A(G,H) \longrightarrow \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H)$$

is given by

$$c({}_GX_H) = ({}_{\mathcal{F}_H}H_{\mathcal{F}_H})^{-1} \circ ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X_{\mathcal{F}_H}) = {}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X \times_T H^{-1}_{\mathcal{F}_H}.$$

Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] & \xrightarrow{(-)^\wedge_p} & [(\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p, (\Sigma^\infty_+ BH)^\wedge_p] \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ A(G,H) & \xrightarrow{\quad c \quad} & \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H), \end{array}$$

where $c$ is given by the formula in the theorem above.

Along the way to proving Theorem 1.1, we review the theory of Burnside modules, spectra, fusion systems, Burnside modules for fusion systems, and $p$-completion as well as proving a few folklore results. We prove that the suspension spectrum of the $p$-completion of the classifying space of a finite group is the same as the $p$-completion of the classifying spectrum

$$\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p) \simeq (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p.$$

We also show that the $p$-completion map induces an isomorphism

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH]^\wedge_p \xrightarrow{\cong} [(\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p, (\Sigma^\infty_+ BH)^\wedge_p]$$

and give explicit formulas for $({}_{\mathcal{F}_H}H_{\mathcal{F}_H})^{-1}$ that aid computation.

In the final section, Section 4, we describe several categories and functors relevant for studying $p$-completion of classifying spaces. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be the category of finite groups, $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ the category of finite groups with a chosen Sylow $p$-subgroup, and $\mathbb{F}$ the category of saturated fusion systems. Let $\mathbb{AG}$, $\mathbb{AG}_{\mathrm{syl}}$, and $\mathbb{AF}_p$ be the corresponding Burnside categories. Finally, let $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp})$ and $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp}_p)$ be the homotopy categories of spectra and $p$-complete spectra.

The functor $c$ from Theorem 1.1 above fits together with $p$-completion of spectra and the functor $F\colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathbb{F}$ associating a fusion system to each group to give the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{G} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}} & \mathbb{AG} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp}) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle U} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{A}U}\ {\scriptstyle\simeq} & & \\
\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{syl}}} & \mathbb{AG}_{\mathrm{syl}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^\wedge_p} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} & & \\
\mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}]{} & \mathbb{AF}_p & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp}_p).
\end{array}$$

**Acknowledgments.** All three authors would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics for their hospitality and support. The second and third author would like to thank SFB 1085 for its support. The first author would like to thank his working group at HIM for being patient listeners to discussions about bisets, $I$-adic topologies, and $p$-completions.

## 2. Preliminaries

We recall definitions and results that are relevant to this paper. In particular, we review Burnside modules, spectra, fusion systems, and notions of $p$-completion.

### 2.1. **Burnside modules.**

**Definition 2.2.** Let $\mathbb{AG}$ be the Burnside category of finite groups. The objects are finite groups. The morphism set between two groups $G$ and $H$, $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$, is the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite $(G,H)$-bisets with free $H$-action and disjoint union as addition. We will refer to the elements in $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$ as virtual bisets. Given a third group $K$, the composition map

$$\mathbb{AG}(H,K) \times \mathbb{AG}(G,H) \to \mathbb{AG}(G,K)$$

is induced by the map sending an $(H,K)$-biset $Y$ and a $(G,H)$-biset $X$ to the coequalizer $X \times_H Y$. The composition map is bilinear.

There is a canonical basis of $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module given by the isomorphism classes of transitive $(G,H)$-bisets. These bisets are of the form

$$G \times^\varphi_K H = (G \times H)/(gk,h) \sim (g, \varphi(k)h),$$

where $K \subseteq G$ is a subgroup of $G$ (taken up to conjugacy in $G$) and $\varphi\colon K \to H$ is a group homomorphism (taken up to conjugacy in $G$ and $H$). We will denote these $(G,H)$-bisets by

$[K,\varphi]_G^H$ or just $[K,\varphi]$ when $G$ and $H$ are clear from context. It is also common to denote a virtual biset $X \in \mathbb{AG}(G,H)$ as ${}_GX_H$ when $G$ and $H$ are not clear from context.

There is also an "unpointed" version of the category $\mathbb{AG}$:

**Definition 2.3.** Let $K\mathbb{G}$ be the category with objects finite groups and morphism sets given by

$$K\mathbb{G}(G,H) = \ker(\mathbb{AG}(G,H) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{AG}(G,e)),$$

where $\epsilon({}_GX_H) = {}_G(X/H)_e$.

Let $A(G) = \mathbb{AG}(G,e)$ be the Burnside ring of $G$. The multiplicative structure comes from the product of left $G$-sets. This may be identified with the (commutative) subring $A^{\mathrm{char}}(G) \subset \mathbb{AG}(G,G)$ spanned by the $(G,G)$-bisets of the form $G \times_K G = [K, i_K]$ (known as the semicharacteristic $(G,G)$-bisets), where $K$ acts through the inclusion $i_K \colon K \subset G$ on both sides. The identification of $A^{\mathrm{char}}(G)$ with $A(G)$ is given by the composite

$$A^{\mathrm{char}}(G) \subset \mathbb{AG}(G,G) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{AG}(G,e).$$

The inverse isomorphism sends $G/H \in A(G)$ to $G/H \times G = G \times_H G \in A^{\mathrm{char}}(G)$, where the left action of $G$ on $G/H \times G$ is diagonal. Since $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$ is a left $\mathbb{AG}(G,G)$-module, it is also a left $A(G)$-module. Finally, let $I_G \subset A(G)$ be the kernel of the augmentation $A(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ sending a $G$-set $X$ to its cardinality.

**Lemma 2.4** ([MM])**.** If $G$ is a $p$-group of order $p^n$, then $I_G^{n+1} \subseteq pI_G$. Consequently, the $I_G$-adic and $p$-adic topologies on $K\mathbb{G}(G,H)$ coincide and the $(p+I_G)$-adic and $p$-adic topologies on $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$ coincide.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lemma 5 in [MM]. In particular, the inclusion of ideals $I_G^{n+1} \subseteq pI_G$ is part of the proof of that lemma. □

2.5. **Spectra.**

**Definition 2.6.** Let Ho(Sp) be the homotopy category of spectra.

For a pointed space $X$, let $\Sigma^\infty X$ be the suspension spectrum of $X$. Given another pointed space $Y$, we let

$$[\Sigma^\infty X, \Sigma^\infty Y]$$

be the abelian group of homotopy classes of stable maps. For $X$ unpointed, let $\Sigma^\infty_+ X$ be the suspension spectrum of $X$ with a disjoint basepoint. When $G$ is a finite group we will write $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG$ for the suspension spectrum of the classifying space $BG$ with a disjoint basepoint and $\Sigma^\infty BG$ for the suspension spectrum of $BG$ using $Be \to BG$ as the basepoint.

There is a canonical map

$$\mathbb{AG}(G,H) \to [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH]$$

sending $[K,\varphi]_G^H$ to the composite

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} \Sigma^\infty_+ BK \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty_+ B\varphi} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH,$$

where Tr is the transfer. This map was intensely studied over several decades culminating in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** ([Car], [AGM], [LMM])**.** There are canonical isomorphisms

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, S^0] \cong A(G)^\wedge_{I_G}$$

and

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \cong \mathbb{AG}(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G}.$$

There are several corollaries to this theorem (see [May], for instance). They include the following canonical isomorphisms

$$[\Sigma^\infty BG, \Sigma^\infty BH] \cong K\mathbb{G}(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G}$$

and for $S$ a $p$-group, due to Lemma 2.4,

$$[\Sigma^\infty BS, \Sigma^\infty BH] \cong K\mathbb{G}(S,H)^\wedge_p$$

and

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BS, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH]^\wedge_p \cong \mathbb{AG}(S,H)^\wedge_p.$$

Since $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG \simeq \Sigma^\infty BG \vee S^0 \simeq \Sigma^\infty BG \times S^0$, a map $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BH$ is determined by four maps between the summands. However, since $[S^0, \Sigma^\infty BH] = 0$, only three of the maps are relevant. On the algebraic side, this corresponds to a splitting of the Burnside module $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G}$ into three summands. This decomposition is induced by the orthogonal idempotents $[G,0], ([G,i_G] - [G,0]) \in \mathbb{AG}(G,G)$ and $[H,0], ([H,i_H] - [H,0]) \in \mathbb{AG}(H,H)$, where 0 is the map that factors through the trivial subgroup. By multiplying on the left or right appropriately, these two pairs of idempotents give a splitting of $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G}$ into four summands

$$K\mathbb{G}(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G} \oplus I_G \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus 0,$$

one of which is always zero. Because of this, most of the results of this paper can be converted into unpointed results by multiplying on the right with $([H,i_H] - [H,0])$.

2.8. **Fusion systems.** We recall the very basics of the definition of a saturated fusion system. For additional details see [Ree2, Section 2], [RS, Section 2] or [AKO, Part I]. We also discuss the construction of the classifying spectrum of a fusion system.

**Definition 2.9.** A fusion system on a finite $p$-group $S$ is a category $\mathcal{F}$ with the subgroups of $S$ as objects and where the morphisms $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ for $P,Q \leq S$ satisfy

(i) Every morphism $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ is an injective group homomorphism $\varphi\colon P \to Q$.
(ii) Every map $\varphi\colon P \to Q$ induced by conjugation in $S$ is in $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)$.
(iii) Every map $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ factors as $P \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varphi(P) \hookrightarrow Q$ in $\mathcal{F}$ and the inverse isomorphism $\varphi^{-1}\colon \varphi(P) \to P$ is also in $\mathcal{F}$.

A *saturated* fusion system satisfies some additional axioms that we will not go through as they play no direct role in this paper.

Given fusion systems $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ on $p$-groups $S_1$ and $S_2$, respectively, a group homomorphism $\varphi\colon S_1 \to S_2$ is said to be fusion preserving if whenever $\psi\colon P \to Q$ is a map in $\mathcal{F}_1$, there is a corresponding map $\rho\colon \varphi(P) \to \varphi(Q)$ in $\mathcal{F}_2$ such that $\varphi|_Q \circ \psi = \rho \circ \varphi|_P$. Note that each such $\rho$ is unique if it exists.

**Example 2.10.** Whenever $G$ is a finite group with Sylow $p$-subgroup $S$, we associate a fusion system on $S$ denoted $\mathcal{F}_G$. The maps in $\mathcal{F}_G(P,Q)$ for subgroups $P,Q \leq S$ are precisely the homomorphisms $P \to Q$ induced by conjugation in $G$. The fusion system $\mathcal{F}_G$ associated to a group at a prime $p$ is always saturated.

Every saturated fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ has a classifying spectrum originally constructed by Broto-Levi-Oliver in [BLO2, Section 5]. The most direct way of constructing this spectrum, due to Ragnarsson [Rag], is as the mapping telescope

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{colim}(\Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathcal{F}}} \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathcal{F}}} \dots),$$

where $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}\colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BS$ is the characteristic idempotent of $\mathcal{F}$ (see [Rag, Definition 4.3]). By construction $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}$ is a wedge summand of $\Sigma^\infty_+ BS$. The transfer map

$$t\colon \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F} \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BS$$

is the inclusion of $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}$ as a summand and the "inclusion" map

$$r\colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \to \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}$$

is the projection on $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}$. Thus $r \circ t = 1$ and $t \circ r = \omega_{\mathcal{F}}$.

As remarked in Section 5 of [BLO2], the spectrum $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}$ constructed this way is in fact the suspension spectrum for the classifying space $B\mathcal{F}$ defined in [BLO2, Che]. One way to see this is to note that $H^*(B\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ coincides with $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot H^*(BS, \mathbb{F}_p)$ as the $\mathcal{F}$-stable elements, and by an argument similar to Proposition 2.14 later on, the suspension spectrum of $B\mathcal{F}$ is $H\mathbb{F}_p$-local.

2.11. **Burnside modules for fusion systems.** Fix a prime $p$.

**Definition 2.12.** Let $\mathbb{AF}_p$ be the Burnside category of saturated fusion systems. The objects in this category are saturated fusion systems $(\mathcal{F}, S)$ over finite $p$-groups. Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ be saturated fusion systems on $p$-groups $S_1$ and $S_2$. The morphisms in $\mathbb{AF}_p$ between $(\mathcal{F}_1, S_1)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_2, S_2)$ are the elements of the Burnside module

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{AF}_p(S_1, S_2) = \mathbb{AG}(S_1, S_2)^\wedge_p.$$

This is the submodule of the $p$-complete Burnside module $\mathbb{AG}(S_1, S_2)^\wedge_p$ consisting of left $\mathcal{F}_1$-stable and right $\mathcal{F}_2$-stable elements.

Stability may be defined in two ways. We say that an element $X \in \mathbb{AF}_p(S_1, S_2)$ is left $\mathcal{F}_1$-stable if $\omega_1 \circ X = X$ and right $\mathcal{F}_2$-stable if $X \circ \omega_2 = X$, where $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are the characteristic idempotents of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$. Algebraically, the definition is longer but more elementary. An $(S_1, S_2)$-biset $X$ is left $\mathcal{F}_1$-stable if for all pairs of subgroups $P, Q \subset S_1$ and any isomorphism $\varphi\colon P \cong_{\mathcal{F}_1} Q$ in $\mathcal{F}_1$ the $(P, S_1)$-sets ${}_P X_{S_1}$ and ${}^\varphi_P X_{S_1}$ are isomorphic, where ${}^\varphi_P X_{S_1}$ is the biset induced by restriction along

$$P \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q \subset S_1.$$

Right stability is defined similarly. The Burnside module $\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is the $p$-completion of the Grothendieck group of left $\mathcal{F}_1$-stable right $\mathcal{F}_2$-stable $(S_1, S_2)$-bisets ([Ree, 4.4]). For short, we will call such left $\mathcal{F}_1$-stable right $\mathcal{F}_2$-stable elements stable.

It is worth noting that there is an inclusion

$$\mathbb{AG}(S_1, S_2) \subset \mathbb{AF}_p(S_1, S_2)$$

so we may view any $(S_1, S_2)$-biset as an element in $\mathbb{AF}_p(S_1, S_2)$. In fact, $\mathbb{AF}_p(S_1, S_2)$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module on bisets of the form $[K, \varphi]^{S_2}_{S_1}$. Similarly, $\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module on "virtual bisets" of the form

$$[K, \varphi]^{\mathcal{F}_2}_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \omega_{\mathcal{F}_1} \circ [K, \varphi]^{S_2}_{S_1} \circ \omega_{\mathcal{F}_2},$$

where $K$ and $\varphi$ are taken up to conjugacy in $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ ([Rag, 5.2]).

In order to clarify that a stable $(S_1, S_2)$-biset $X$ is being viewed in $\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ we will write ${}_{\mathcal{F}_1} X_{\mathcal{F}_2}$. Given a third saturated fusion system $\mathcal{F}_3$ on $S_3$ and bisets ${}_{\mathcal{F}_1} X_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ and ${}_{\mathcal{F}_2} Y_{\mathcal{F}_3}$, we will denote the composite biset by

$${}_{\mathcal{F}_1} X \times_{S_2} Y_{\mathcal{F}_3} = ({}_{\mathcal{F}_1} X_{\mathcal{F}_2}) \times_{S_2} ({}_{\mathcal{F}_2} Y_{\mathcal{F}_3}).$$

Given finite groups $G$ and $H$ with Sylow subgroups $S$ and $T$ and a $(G,H)$-biset $X$, we may restrict the $G$-action to $S$ and the $H$-action to $T$ to get an $(S,T)$-biset ${}_SX_T$. Let $\mathcal{F}_G$ be the fusion system associated to $G$ on $S$ and and $\mathcal{F}_H$ the fusion system associated to $H$ on $T$. The restricted biset ${}_SX_T$ is always a stable biset and so we may further consider it as an $(\mathcal{F}_G,\mathcal{F}_H)$-biset

$$ {}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X_{\mathcal{F}_H}. $$

We turn our attention to Burnside rings for saturated fusion systems. Recall that the composite

$$ A^{\mathrm{char}}(G) \to \mathbb{AG}(G,G) \to \mathbb{AG}(G,e) \cong A(G) $$

of Section 2.1 is an isomorphism and identifies the Burnside ring $A(G)$ with the subring of $\mathbb{AG}(G,G)$ on the semicharacteristic $(G,G)$-bisets.

In the same way, there are two versions of the Burnside ring associated to a fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ on a $p$-group $S$. The first, denoted $A(\mathcal{F})$, is the subring of $\mathcal{F}$-stable elements of $A(S)$. The second is the subring of $A_p^{\mathrm{char}}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{AF}_p(S,S)$ consisting of $\mathcal{F}$-semicharacteristic bisets. This is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule spanned by the basis elements of the form $[K,i_K]^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The identity element in $A_p^{\mathrm{char}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the characteristic idempotent. The units of $A_p^{\mathrm{char}}(\mathcal{F})$ are usually referred to as the $\mathcal{F}$-characteristic elements, and each of them contains enough information to reconstruct $\mathcal{F}$ (see [RS, Theorem 5.9]).

The commutative rings $A(\mathcal{F})$ and $A_p^{\mathrm{char}}(\mathcal{F})$ may be canonically identified after $p$-completion, but it is useful to distinguish between the two. The (non-multiplicative) map $\epsilon\colon \mathbb{AG}(S,S) \to A(S)$ induces a map

$$ A_p^{\mathrm{char}}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}) \to A(\mathcal{F})^\wedge_p $$

which is a ring isomorphism by Theorem D in [Ree2].

Let $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the kernel of the augmentation map

$$ I_{\mathcal{F}} = \ker(A(\mathcal{F}) \to A(S) \to \mathbb{Z}). $$

The ring $A(\mathcal{F})^\wedge_p$ is clearly $p$-complete. It is also complete with respect to the maximal ideal $(p)+I_{\mathcal{F}}$ and these ideals give the same topology. This follows immediately from the fact that the ideals $(p)$ and $(p)+I_S$ give the same topology on $A(S)$ (Lemma 2.4).

2.13. **$p$-completion.** Let $E$ be a spectrum. There is a $p$-completion functor on spectra equipped with a canonical transformation

$$ E \to E^\wedge_p. $$

This functor is given by Bousfield localization at the Moore spectrum $M\mathbb{Z}/p$. When $E$ is connective, for instance if $E$ is the classifying spectrum of a finite group, then $E^\wedge_p$ is also the localization of $E$ at $H\mathbb{F}_p$. There is a natural equivalence

$$ (\Sigma^\infty_+ BG)^\wedge_p \simeq (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p \vee (S^0)^\wedge_p. $$

The arithmetic fracture square immediately implies that

$$ \Sigma^\infty BG \simeq \bigvee_p (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p. $$

If $S$ is a $p$-group, then $\Sigma^\infty BS \simeq (\Sigma^\infty BS)^\wedge_p$ so

$$ (\Sigma^\infty_+ BS)^\wedge_p \simeq \Sigma^\infty BS \vee (S^0)^\wedge_p. $$

When the prime is clear from context and $X$ is a space, we will write

$$ \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ X = (\Sigma^\infty_+ X)^\wedge_p $$

for the $p$-completion of the suspension spectrum with a disjoint basepoint.

Let $S \subset G$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup. Since $\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG$ is a retract of $\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS$ and because maps to $p$-complete spectra factor through the $p$-completion, the restriction along the inclusion induces an injection

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, (S^0)^\wedge_p] \hookrightarrow [\Sigma^\infty_+ BS, (S^0)^\wedge_p].$$

There are several notions of $p$-completion for spaces. These were developed in [Bou], [BK], [Sul], and [Sul2]. For a space such as $BG$, these notions all agree and there is a simple relationship between the stable $p$-completion and the unstable $p$-completion. Since it is difficult to find a proof of this fact in the literature, we provide a complete proof.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let $G$ be a finite group. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\Sigma^\infty (BG^\wedge_p) \simeq (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p.$$

*Proof.* It follows from [BK, VII.4.3] that the unstable homotopy groups $\pi_*(BG^\wedge_p)$ are all finite $p$-groups.

This implies that the reduced integral homology groups $\widetilde{H\mathbb{Z}}_*(BG^\wedge_p)$ are all finite $p$-groups: Let $\widetilde{BG^\wedge_p}$ be the universal cover. A Serre class argument with the Serre spectral sequence associated to the fibration

$$\widetilde{BG^\wedge_p} \to BG^\wedge_p \to K(\pi_1(BG^\wedge_p), 1)$$

reduces this problem to the group homology of $\pi_1(BG^\wedge_p)$ with coefficients in the integral homology of the fiber. The result follows from the fact that the reduced homology groups of the fiber are $p$-groups and that the integral group homology groups of $\pi_1(BG^\wedge_p)$ are $p$-groups. Both of these are classical (see [DK, Chapter 10] for the fiber, for instance).

This implies that the stable homotopy groups $\pi_*(\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p))$ are all finite $p$-groups: This is a Serre class argument with the (convergent) Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

This implies that the spectrum $\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p)$ is $p$-complete: As $\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p)$ is connective, it suffices to prove that the spectrum is $H\mathbb{F}_p$-local. Let $X$ be an $H\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic spectrum. Let $Y_i$ be the $i$th stage in the Postnikov tower for $\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p)$ so that

$$\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p) \simeq \lim Y_i$$

and

$$\Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p)^X \simeq \lim Y_i^X.$$

We would like to show that this spectrum is zero. Let $K_i$ be the fiber of the map $Y_i \to Y_{i-1}$. By induction, it suffices to prove the $K_i^X \simeq 0$.

There is an equivalence $K_i \simeq \Sigma^k HA$ for a finite abelian $p$-group group $A$. Thus it suffices to show that $(\Sigma^k H\mathbb{Z}/p^l)^X \simeq 0$ for all $l$. By induction on the fiber sequence

$$\Sigma^k H\mathbb{F}_p \to \Sigma^k H\mathbb{Z}/p^l \to \Sigma^k H\mathbb{Z}/p^{l-1}$$

it suffices to prove that $(\Sigma^k H\mathbb{F}_p)^X \simeq 0$. This is the spectrum of $H\mathbb{F}_p$-module maps $\mathrm{Mod}_{H\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge X, \Sigma^k H\mathbb{F}_p)$. By assumption $H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge X \simeq *$.

This implies that the canonical map

$$\Sigma^\infty BG \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p)$$

factors through

$$(\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty(BG^\wedge_p)$$

which is an $H\mathbb{F}_p$-homology equivalence between $H\mathbb{F}_p$-local spectra and thus is an equivalence. □

When restricted to the homotopy category of classifying spectra of finite groups, the $p$-completion functor has a simple description. We have not found this fact in the literature.

**Proposition 2.15.** The $p$-completion functor on spectra induces an isomorphism

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH]^\wedge_p \xrightarrow{\cong} [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BH].$$

*Proof.* We have splittings

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \cong [S^0, S^0] \oplus [\Sigma^\infty BG, S^0] \oplus [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty BH].$$

and

$$\Sigma^\infty BG \simeq \bigvee_l (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_l \text{ and } \Sigma^\infty BH \simeq \bigvee_l (\Sigma^\infty BH)^\wedge_l,$$

where the wedges are over primes dividing the order of the group. Since

$$[X, (\Sigma^\infty BH)^\wedge_l]$$

is $l$-complete for finite type $X$ and a prime $l$ and thus algebraically $l$-complete ([Bou2, 2.5]), the (algebraic) $p$-completion of the abelian group

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty BH]$$

is $[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty BH]$. The algebraic $p$-completion of $[S^0, S^0]$ is clearly $\mathbb{Z}_p \cong [S^0, (S^0)^\wedge_p]$.

Finally, we must deal with $[\Sigma^\infty BG, S^0]$. However, since $\Sigma^\infty BG$ is connected, maps from $\Sigma^\infty BG$ to $S^0$ factor through the connected cover of $S^0$, $\tilde{S}^0$. The connected cover of $S^0$ has trivial rational cohomology, so the arithmetic fracture square gives a splitting

$$\tilde{S}^0 \simeq \bigvee_l (\tilde{S}^0)^\wedge_l \simeq \prod_l (\tilde{S}^0)^\wedge_l.$$

The second equivalence is a consequence of the fact that $\pi_i S^0$ is finite above degree zero. Thus the group $[\Sigma^\infty BG, S^0]$ appears to be an infinite product, however the $l$-completion of $\Sigma^\infty BG$ is contractible for $l$ not dividing the order of $G$. Thus the product

$$\prod_l [\Sigma^\infty BG, (\tilde{S}^0)^\wedge_l]$$

has a finite number of non-zero factors. The $p$-completion of this product is just the factor corresponding to the prime $p$. □

## 3. A formula for the $p$-completion functor

Fix a prime $p$. We give an explicit formula for the $p$-completion functor from virtual $(G, H)$-bisets to $p$-complete spectra sending a biset

$$X \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BH$$

to the $p$-completion

$$X^\wedge_p \colon \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BH.$$

3.1. **Further results on Burnside modules for fusion systems.** We begin with a result describing how Burnside modules for fusion systems relate to the stable homotopy category. Let $G$ and $H$ be finite groups and let $S \subseteq G$ and $T \subseteq H$ be fixed Sylow $p$-subgroups. Let $\mathcal{F}_G$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ be the fusion systems on $S$ and $T$ determined by $G$ and $H$. Recall that there are natural forgetful maps

$$\mathbb{AG}(G,H) \to \mathbb{AG}(S,T)$$

and

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G,\mathcal{F}_H) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{AF}_p(S,T).$$

In the stable homotopy category the analogous maps are the map

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \to [\Sigma^\infty_+ BS, \Sigma^\infty_+ BT]$$

given by composing with the inclusion from $\Sigma^\infty_+ BS$ and the transfer to $\Sigma^\infty_+ BT$ and the map

$$[\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H] \to [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BT]$$

given by precomposing with $r$ and postcomposing with $t$. We may use this to construct a map

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \to [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H]$$

by sending $X$ to the $p$-completion of the composite

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{t} \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{{}^S G_G} \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{X} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \xrightarrow{{}_H H_T} \Sigma^\infty_+ BT \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ be saturated fusion systems on the $p$-groups $S_1$ and $S_2$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_1, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_2].$$

*Proof.* The abelian groups $\mathbb{AF}_p(S_1,S_2)$ and $[\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS_1, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS_2]$ both have canonical idempotent endomorphisms given by precomposing and postcomposing with the characteristic idempotents associated to $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$. The algebraic characteristic idempotent maps to the spectral characteristic idempotent by definition. This compatibility ensures that the images of these endomorphisms map to each other under the canonical isomorphism of Proposition 2.15

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(S_1,S_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS_1, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS_2].$$

The images of these endomorphisms are precisely the $(\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{F}_2)$-stable bisets and the homotopy classes

$$[\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_1, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_2].$$

Since the retract of an isomorphism is an isomorphism, we have constructed a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_1, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_2]. \qquad \square$$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite groups and let $S \subset G$ and $T \subset H$ be Sylow $p$-subgroups. Let $\mathcal{F}_G$ and $\mathcal{F}_H$ be the fusion systems on $S$ and $T$ determined by $G$ and $H$.

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{AG}(G,H) & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{AG}(S,T) & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^\infty_+ BS, \Sigma^\infty_+ BT] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{AF}_p(S,T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BT] \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H].
\end{array}$$

*Proof.* The top center square commutes by naturality of Theorem 2.7. The middle center square commutes by the corollaries to Theorem 2.7. The bottom middle square commutes by the discussion in the proof of Proposition 3.2.

The left part of the diagram commutes as the restriction of a $(G,H)$-biset is bistable. Note that the map

$$[\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H] \to [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BT]$$

is given by the formula

$$f \mapsto tfr.$$

The right part of the diagram commutes as the $p$-completion of the composite

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{t} \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{{}_SG_G} \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{X} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \xrightarrow{{}_HH_T} \Sigma^\infty_+ BT \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H$$

maps to the $p$-completion of the composite

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathcal{F}_G}} \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{{}_SG_G} \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{X} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \xrightarrow{{}_HH_T} \Sigma^\infty_+ BT \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathcal{F}_H}} \Sigma^\infty_+ BT$$

and stability implies that this is equal to the $p$-completion of

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{{}_SG_G} \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{X} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \xrightarrow{{}_HH_T} \Sigma^\infty_+ BT. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 3.3 gives an interpretation of the biset construction

$${}_GX_H \mapsto {}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X_{\mathcal{F}_H}$$

in terms of spectra. It is the $p$-completion of the composite

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{t} \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{{}_SG_G} \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{X} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \xrightarrow{{}_HH_T} \Sigma^\infty_+ BT \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H.$$

Now we focus on the relationship between $\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$ and $\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG$. Applying $p$-completion to the maps $t\colon \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BS$ and ${}_SG_G\colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BG$ gives us the commutative diagram

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G & \xrightarrow{t} & \Sigma^\infty_+ BS & \xrightarrow{{}_SG_G} & \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G & \longrightarrow & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS & \longrightarrow & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG. \\
 & & & \overset{a_G}{\searrow} & \uparrow
\end{array}$$

The map $a_G$ is the composite of the bottom arrows.

**Proposition 3.4.** ([CE, XII.10.1], [BLO2]) The map

$$a_G \colon \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG$$

is an equivalence.

Note that $a_G$ is canonical and natural in maps of finite groups. We will use this equivalence to identify these spectra with each other.

Let $S \subset G$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup and define

$$J_G = \ker(A(G) \to A(S)).$$

Note that $J_G$ is independent of the choice of Sylow $p$-subgroup.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite groups and let $J_G \subset A(G)$ be the ideal defined above. There are canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{AG}(G,H)^\wedge_{p+I_G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{AG}(G,H)/J_G\mathbb{AG}(G,H) \cong \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H)$$

natural in $G$ and $H$.

*Proof.* Since $A(G)^\wedge_{p+I_G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes A(G)/J_G$ the first isomorphism follows from the fact that the completion is given by base change. Naturality of this isomorphism follows from the fact that the image of a Sylow $p$-subgroup under a group homomorphism is contained in a Sylow $p$-subgroup.

To see the other isomorphism, recall that Theorem 2.7 gives an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{AG}(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G} \xrightarrow{\cong} [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH].$$

In view of Proposition 3.4, it suffices to show that the $p$-completion functor

$$[\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \longrightarrow [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BH]$$

is given algebraically by $p$-completion. This is Proposition 2.15. □

3.6. **A formula for $p$-completion.** Now consider the map ${}_SG_S \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BS$, which is the composite of the inclusion and transfer along $S \subseteq G$. This element is $\mathcal{F}_G$-semicharacteristic; it is not $S$-semicharacteristic as it is the composite

$${}_SG_S = [S, \mathrm{id}_S]^S_G \circ [S, i_S]^G_S$$

and various conjugations with respect to elements of $G$ not in $S$ show up in the resulting sum.

**Lemma 3.7.** The element

$${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G} \in \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_G) \cong [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G]$$

is a unit.

*Proof.* Since ${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ is $\mathcal{F}_G$-semicharacteristic it is in the image of the inclusion

$$i \colon A^{\mathrm{char}}_p(\mathcal{F}_G) \to \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_G).$$

Recall that the composite

$$A^{\mathrm{char}}_p(\mathcal{F}_G) \to \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_G) \to A(\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p$$

is an isomorphism of commutative rings even though the second map is not a ring map. The image of ${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ in $A(\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p$ is $G/S$ viewed as an $\mathcal{F}_G$-stable set. Since $|G/S|$ is coprime to $p$, this projects onto a unit in $\mathbb{F}_p$ under the canonical map

$$A(\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Since $A(\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p$ is complete local with maximal ideal $p + I_{\mathcal{F}_G}$, $G/S$ is a unit, but now this implies that ${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ is a unit. □

Recall the equivalence of Proposition 3.4, we now give an explicit description of the inverse to $a_G$. Consider the following diagram

(2)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^\infty_+ BG & \xrightarrow{{}_GG_S} & \Sigma^\infty_+ BS & \xrightarrow{r} & \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG & \longrightarrow & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BS & \longrightarrow & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \\
 & \searrow^{b_G} & & & \simeq \downarrow {\scriptstyle ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}} \\
 & & & & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G.
\end{array}$$

The map ${}_GG_S$ is the transfer from $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG$ to $\Sigma^\infty_+ BS$. The second row is the $p$-completion of the first row. The map $({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$ exists by Lemma 3.7, which depends on the fact that we are in the category of $p$-complete spectra. The map $b_G$ is the composite.

**Lemma 3.8.** The map
$$b_G \colon \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G.$$
is the inverse to $a_G$.

*Proof.* Put Diagram 1 from page 11 to the left of Diagram 2. Note that the image of ${}_GG_G$ along the map
$$\mathbb{AG}(G,G) \to \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_G)$$
of Proposition 3.3 is ${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G}$, which we then postcompose with $({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$. □

Using $b_G$, we may replace the target of the canonical map to the $p$-completion
$$\Sigma^\infty_+ BG \longrightarrow \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG$$
by $\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$. Let
$$c_G \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{b_G} \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$$
be the composite; it is naturally equivalent to the $p$-completion map. Diagram 2 gives a kind of formula for $c_G$. It is the the transfer ${}_GG_S$, viewed as a map landing in $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$, postcomposed with the $p$-completion map $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$ followed by the equivalence $({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$:
$$c_G \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{{}_GG_S} \Sigma^\infty_+ BS \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}} \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G.$$

Using the equivalences $a_G$ and $b_H$, we may construct a map
$$\widehat{(-)} \colon [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH] \to [\hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G, \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H]$$
by sending
$$f \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BH$$
to the composite
$$\widehat{f} \colon \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{a_G} \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{f^\wedge_p} \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BH \xrightarrow{b_H} \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H.$$
By Proposition 3.2, this is an element in $\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H)$. We will give a simple formula for $\widehat{f}$ when $f$ comes from a virtual $(G,H)$-biset. In fact, we may precompose $\widehat{(-)}$ with the

canonical map $A(G,H) \to [\Sigma^\infty_+ BG, \Sigma^\infty_+ BH]$. By abuse of notation, we will also call this $\widehat{(-)}$.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite groups, and let $T \subset H$ be the Sylow $p$-subgroup on which $\mathcal{F}_H$ is defined. The map

$$\mathbb{AG}(G,H) \xrightarrow{\widehat{(-)}} \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H)$$

sends a virtual $(G,H)$-biset ${}_GX_H$ to

$${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}\widehat{X}_{\mathcal{F}_H} = {}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X \times_T H^{-1}_{\mathcal{F}_H} = ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X_{\mathcal{F}_H}) \times_T ({}_{\mathcal{F}_H}H_{\mathcal{F}_H})^{-1}.$$

In other words, there is a commutative diagram in the stable homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^\infty_+ BG & \xrightarrow{\quad X \quad} & \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_G} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_H} \\ \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G & \xrightarrow{{}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X\times_T H^{-1}_{\mathcal{F}_H}} & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Putting together Diagram 1 and the definition of $c_H$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\infty_+ BS & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\infty_+ BG & \xrightarrow{X} & \Sigma^\infty_+ BH \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G & & \xrightarrow{\quad a_G \quad} & & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BG & \xrightarrow{X^\wedge_p} & \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ BH \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \searrow^{c_H} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{b_G} \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_H. \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are all the canonical maps to the $p$-completion. Note that $\widehat{X}$ is the is the composite of the arrows in the bottom row of the diagram. Also, we could add $c_G \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$ diagonally in the left hand square and the diagram would still commute. The composite along the top is precisely

$${}_{\mathcal{F}_G}X \times_T H^{-1}_{\mathcal{F}_H}$$

giving us the desired formula. □

**Remark 3.10.** The formula of Proposition 3.9 also makes sense on elements in $A(G,H)^\wedge_{I_G}$ and the proof is identical once one feels comfortable referring to elements in the completion as virtual bisets.

This result allows us to give explicit formulas for the $p$-completion functor. It is often useful to have formulas more explicit than $({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$. We will give two further ways of understanding this element. One as an infinite series and the other as a certain limit. The following formulas for calculating $({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$ are based on similar calculations in [Rag].

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $X = {}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G}$. Inside $\mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_G)$ we have the equalities

$$X^{-1} = X^{p-2} \sum_{i \geq 0} (1 - X^{p-1})^i = \lim_{n \to \infty} X^{(p-1)p^n - 1}.$$

*Proof.* Since $X$ is $\mathcal{F}_G$-characteristic, it suffices to prove this inside

$$A(\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p \cong A^{\mathrm{char}}_p(\mathcal{F}_G) \subset \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_G).$$

This ring is complete local with maximal ideal $m = p + I_{\mathcal{F}_G}$.

Since $X$ is invertible, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(p-1)p^n} = X \times \lim_{n\to\infty} X^{(p-1)p^n-1} = 1.$$

But since $A(\mathcal{F}_G)^\wedge_p/m \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, we have that $X^{p-1} = 1 \mod m$. Thus it is enough to show that if $Y = 1 \mod m$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} Y^{p^n} = 1$.

Indeed, we will prove by induction that

$$Y^{p^n} - 1 \in m^{n+1}.$$

The case $n = 0$ follows by assumption. Assume that $Y^{p^n} - 1 \in m^{n+1}$. We have

$$Y^{p^{n+1}} - 1 = (Y^{p^n} - 1)(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} Y^{p^n i}),$$

but

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} Y^{p^n i} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} 1^{p^n i} = p = 0 \mod m$$

and $(Y^{p^n} - 1) \in m^{n+1}$ by assumption, so $(Y^{p^{n+1}} - 1) \in m^{n+2}$.

For the other equality, note that the sum is the geometric series for $1/X^{p-1}$ and that the summand live in higher and higher powers of $m$. □

**Remark 3.12.** The formulas for $X^{-1}$ in the previous proposition are true much more generally. For instance, it suffices that $R$ is a (not necessarily commutative) $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra with a two-sided maximal ideal $m$ such that $R/m \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ and $R$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.

**Corollary 3.13.** The idempotent in $K\mathbb{G}(G,G)^\wedge_{I_G}$ that splits off $(\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p$ as a summand of $\Sigma^\infty BG$ can be written as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ([S, i_S]^G_G - [S, 0]^G_G)^{(p-1)p^n}.$$

The biset $[S, i_S]^G_G - [S, 0]^G_G = (G \times_S G) - (G/S \times_e G)$ is just the transfer from $\Sigma^\infty BG$ to $\Sigma^\infty BS$ followed by the inclusion back to $\Sigma^\infty BG$.

*Proof.* Recall the discussion following Proposition 2.7, that in order to get the unpointed version $\Sigma^\infty BG \to \Sigma^\infty BH$ of any map $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \Sigma^\infty_+ BH$, we just have to postcompose with the idempotent $[H, i_H] - [H, 0] \in \mathbb{AG}(H, H)$. This is because, for any virtual $(G, H)$-biset $X$, we have

$$([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) \times_G X \times_H ([H, i_H] - [H, 0]) = X \times_H ([H, i_H] - [H, 0]). \tag{3}$$

The map $c_G \colon \Sigma^\infty_+ BG \to \hat{\Sigma}^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}_G$ has an unpointed version $\overline{c}_G \colon \Sigma^\infty BG \to \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G$ which we get by postcomposing with the idempotent $\omega_\mathcal{F} - [S, 0]^S_S = \omega_\mathcal{F} \times_S ([S, i_S]^S_S - [S, 0]^S_S) \in \mathbb{AF}_p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$. The map $\overline{c}_G$ is represented by the composition

$$\Sigma^\infty BG \xrightarrow{{}_GG_S} \Sigma^\infty BS \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}} \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G$$

or equivalently as the element

$${}_GG_S \times_S ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1} \times_S (\omega_\mathcal{F} - [S, 0]).$$

Similarly the map $s \colon \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{t} \Sigma^\infty BS \xrightarrow{i_S} \Sigma^\infty BG$ is represented by the virtual biset ${}_SG_G \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0])$.

We see that $s$ is a section to $\overline{c}_G$ since $\overline{c}_G \circ s$ is represented by the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_SG_G \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) \times_G {}_GG_S \times_S ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1} \times_S (\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0]) \\
&= {}_SG_G \times_G {}_GG_S \times_S ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1} \times_S (\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0]) \\
&= {}_SG_S \times_S ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1} \times_S (\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0]) = (\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0])
\end{aligned}$$

and $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0]$ is the identity map on $\Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G$. Note that we can remove $([G, i_G] - [G, 0])$ in the middle since we multiply by $(\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0])$ at the end anyway.

The composition $\overline{c}_G \circ s$ ends with the equivalence $\overline{b}_G \colon (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p \to \Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G$. If we instead place $\overline{b}_G$ at the beginning, we see that

$$(\Sigma^\infty BG^\wedge_p) \xrightarrow{b_G} (\Sigma^\infty B\mathcal{F}_G) \xrightarrow{s} \Sigma^\infty BG \to (\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p$$

is also the identity.

From this we conclude that $s \circ \overline{c}_G \colon \Sigma^\infty BG \to \Sigma^\infty BG$ is an idempotent with image the $p$-completion $(\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p$. We now plug in the limit formula for $({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$ from Proposition 3.11 and see that the idempotent $s \circ \overline{c}_G$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_GG_S \times_S ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1} \times_S (\omega_{\mathcal{F}} - [S, 0]) \times_S {}_SG_G \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) \\
&= {}_GG_S \times_S ({}_{\mathcal{F}_G}G_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1} \times_S {}_SG_G \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) \\
&= {}_GG_S \times_S \left(\lim_{n\to\infty} ({}_SG_S)^{(p-1)p^n - 1}\right) \times_S {}_SG_G \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) \\
&= \left(\lim_{n\to\infty} (G \times_S G)^{(p-1)p^n}\right) \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} (G \times_S G - [S, 0]^G_G)^{(p-1)p^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because (3) tells us that we can act with the idempotent $[G, i_G] - [G, 0]$ on every factor in a long composition, and $(G \times_S G) \times_G ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) = G \times_S G - [S, 0]^G_G$. □

**Example 3.14.** As an example of how the different formulas of this paper play together with the $I_G$-adic topology, we will perform a "sanity check". We will check that the idempotents of Corollary 3.13 at each prime actually add up to give back the identity on $\Sigma^\infty BG$.

Let $S_p$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$, and let $\omega_p$ denote the idempotent

$$\omega_p := \lim_{n\to\infty} ([S_p, i_{S_p}]^G_G - [S_p, 0]^G_G)^{(p-1)p^n}$$

that splits off $(\Sigma^\infty BG)^\wedge_p$ from $\Sigma^\infty BG$. We will confirm that

$$[G, i_G] - [G, 0] = \sum_p \omega_p$$

in the endomorphism ring $K\mathbb{G}(G, G)^\wedge_{I_G}$ of $\Sigma^\infty BG$.

First note that we may write

$$1 = \sum_p a_p \frac{|G|}{|S_p|}, \tag{4}$$

a linear combination of integers for some choice of integers $a_p$. Next let

$$Z := \sum_p a_p \left(\frac{|G|}{|S_p|}([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - ([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0])\right),$$

which is an element of $I_G \cdot ([G, i_G] - [G, 0])$.

We now claim that

$$Z \times_G (([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - \sum_p \omega_p) = ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - \sum_p \omega_p.$$

To show this we need the following two calculations: The first is the fact that

$$([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0]) \times_G ([S_q, i_{S_q}] - [S_q, 0]) = 0 \text{ whenever } p \neq q,$$

because any conjugates of $S_p$ and $S_q$ in $G$ always intersect trivially. Hence $([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0]) \times_G \omega_q = 0$ as $\omega_q$ is formed by iterating $[S_q, i_{S_q}] - [S_q, 0]$. The second calculation we need is that

$$\begin{aligned}
&([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0]) \times_G \omega_p \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} ([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0]) \times_G ([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0])^{(p-1)p^n} \\
&= ([S_p, i_{S_p}]_G^{S_p} - [S_p, 0]_G^{S_p}) \times_{S_p} \left( \lim_{n\to\infty} ({}_{S_p}G_{S_p} - ({}_{S_p}G/S_p \times_e S_p))^{(p-1)p^n} \right) \\
&\quad \times_{S_p} ([S_p, i_{S_p}]_{S_p}^G - [S_p, 0]_{S_p}^G) \\
&= ([S_p, i_{S_p}]_G^{S_p} - [S_p, 0]_G^{S_p}) \times_{S_p} (\omega_{\mathcal{F}_{S_p}(G)} - [S_p, 0]_{S_p}^{S_p}) \times_{S_p} ([S_p, i_{S_p}]_{S_p}^G - [S_p, 0]_{S_p}^G) \\
&= ([S_p, i_{S_p}]_G^{S_p} - [S_p, 0]_G^{S_p}) \times_{S_p} ([S_p, i_{S_p}]_{S_p}^G - [S_p, 0]_{S_p}^G) \text{ by } \mathcal{F}_{S_p}(G)\text{-stability} \\
&= [S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0].
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $[S_p, i_{S_p}]_G^G - [S_p, 0]_G^G$ is $\omega_p$-stable (not surprisingly). Now we return to the product with $Z$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z \times_G \Big( ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - \sum_p \omega_p \Big) \\
&= \Big( \sum_p a_p \Big( \frac{|G|}{|S_p|} ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - ([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0]) \Big) \Big) \times_G \Big( ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - \sum_p \omega_p \Big) \\
&= \Big( \sum_p a_p \frac{|G|}{|S_p|} \Big) \cdot \Big( ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - \sum_p \omega_p \Big) \\
&\quad - \Big( \sum_p a_p ([S_p, i_{S_p}] - [S_p, 0]) \Big) \times_G \Big( ([G, i_G] - [G, 0]) - \sum_p \omega_p \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

By Equation 4, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p \omega_p\Big)\\
&-\Big(\sum_p a_p([S_p,i_{S_p}]-[S_p,0])\Big)\times_G\Big(([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p\omega_p\Big)\\
=&\Big(([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p \omega_p\Big)\\
&-\Big(\sum_p a_p\Big(([S_p,i_{S_p}]-[S_p,0])\times_G([G,i_G]-[G,0])-([S_p,i_{S_p}]-[S_p,0])\times_G\sum_q w_q\Big)\Big)\\
=&\Big(([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p\omega_p\Big)-\Big(\sum_p a_p\Big(([S_p,i_{S_p}]-[S_p,0])-([S_p,i_{S_p}]-[S_p,0])\times_G\omega_p\Big)\Big)\\
=&\Big(([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p\omega_p\Big)-\Big(\sum_p a_p\cdot 0\Big)\\
=&([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p\omega_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Z$ is in $I_G\cdot([G,i_G]-[G,0])$, this shows that $([G,i_G]-[G,0])-\sum_p\omega_p$ is in $I_G^k K\mathbb{G}(G,G)$ for all $k$ and therefore equal to 0 in the $I_G$-adic completion. Thus $([G,i_G]-[G,0])=\sum_p\omega_p$ as we claimed.

## 4. Categories related to fusion systems

We introduce several categories closely connected to the category of fusion systems and study some of the functors between them. We apply the formula for $p$-completion of the previous section to produce a commutative diagram involving these categories.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\mathbb{G}$ be the category of finite groups and group homomorphisms.

Fix a prime $p$.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ be the category with objects pairs $(G,S)$, where $G$ is a finite group and $S$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. A morphism between two objects $(G,S)$ and $(H,T)$ is a homomorphism $f\colon G\to H$ such that $f(S)\subset T$.

**Definition 4.3.** Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the category of saturated fusion systems. The objects are saturated fusion systems $(\mathcal{F},S)$ and a morphism from $(\mathcal{F},S)$ to $(\mathcal{G},T)$ is a fusion preserving group homomorphism $S\to T$.

Recall that $\mathbb{AG}$ is the Burnside category of finite groups. Objects are finite groups and the morphism set between two groups $G$ and $H$, $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$, is the Grothendieck group of finite $(G,H)$-bisets with a free $H$-action. Also recall that $\mathbb{AF}_p$ is the Burnside category of fusion systems.

**Definition 4.4.** Let $\mathbb{AG}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ be the category with objects pairs $(G,S)$ where $G$ is a finite group and $S$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and with morphisms between two objects $(G,S)$ and $(H,T)$ given by

$$\mathbb{AG}_{\mathrm{syl}}((G,S),(H,T))=\mathbb{AG}(G,H).$$

We will also make use of several categories coming from homotopy theory.

**Definition 4.5.** Let $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Top}_{\mathbb{G}})$ be the full subcategory of the homotopy category of spaces on the classifying spaces of finite groups. Let $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp})$ be the homotopy category of spectra and let $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp}_p)$ be the homotopy category of $p$-complete spectra.

We describe the relationships between these categories by defining several canonical functors. We produce commutative diagrams involving the categories, applying the formula for $p$-completion from the previous section.

Let $\mathbb{A}\colon \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}$ be the canonical functor from the category of groups to the Burnside category. It takes a group homomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to H$ to the $(G,H)$-biset $[G,\varphi]^H_G$, which is just ${}^{\varphi}_{G}H_H$ with $G$ acting through $\varphi$ on the left. The functor $\mathbb{A}$ is not faithful, conjugate maps are identified. It does factor through the full functor $B(-)$ to $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Top}_{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Top}_{\mathbb{G}})$ does map faithfully into $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}$.

Let $U\colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathbb{G}$ be the forgetful functor, sending $(G,S)$ to $G$. This functor is faithful. Given a map $\varphi\colon G \to H$ and a Sylow subgroup $S \subseteq G$, $\varphi(S)$ is contained in some Sylow subgroup of $H$ and this provides a lift of $\varphi$ to $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{syl}}\colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ be defined just as the functor $\mathbb{A}$. It takes a morphism $\varphi\colon (G,S) \to (H,T)$ to the biset $[G,\varphi]^H_G$. Note that any map $G \to H$ is $H$-conjugate to a map sending $S$ into $T$. Thus the image of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}((G,S),(H,T))$ in

$$\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}((G,S),(H,T)) = \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(G,H)$$

is equal to the image of $\mathbb{G}(G,H)$ under the functor $\mathbb{A}$.

Let $\mathbb{A}U\colon \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}$ be the forgetful functor. Note that this functor is an equivalence, it is fully faithful and surjective on objects.

Let $F\colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathbb{F}$ be the functor sending a pair $(G,S)$ to the induced fusion system $\mathcal{F}_G$ on $S$. By construction, the morphisms in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ restrict to fusion preserving maps between the chosen Sylow $p$-subgroups.

Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}\colon \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{A}\mathbb{F}_p$ be the functor that is the identity on objects and takes a map of fusion systems $(\mathcal{F},S) \to (\mathcal{G},T)$ induced by a fusion preserving map $\varphi\colon S \to T$ to $[S,\varphi]^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. In Proposition 4.7 below, we prove that this is a functor.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $\varphi\colon S \to T$ be a fusion preserving map between saturated fusion systems $(\mathcal{F},S)$ and $(\mathcal{G},T)$. Then

$$\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \times_S [S,\varphi] \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} = [S,\varphi] \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that $X := [S,\varphi] \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ is left $\mathcal{F}$-stable. To see that $X$ is $\mathcal{F}$-stable we consider an arbitrary subgroup $P \leq S$ and map $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(P,S)$ and prove that the restriction of $X$ along $\psi$, ${}^{\psi}_{P}X_T$, is isomorphic to ${}_PX_T$ as virtual $(P,T)$-bisets.

The restriction of $[S,\varphi]^T_S$ along $\psi\colon P \to S$ is just $[P,\varphi\circ\psi]^T_P$. We therefore have

$${}^{\psi}_{P}X_T = [P,\varphi\circ\psi]^T_P \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Since $\psi$ is a map in $\mathcal{F}$, and since $\varphi$ is assumed to be fusion preserving, this means that there is some map $\rho\colon \varphi(P) \to T$ in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\varphi|_{\psi(P)} \circ \psi = \rho \circ \varphi|_P$. Finally, $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ absorbs maps in $\mathcal{G}$, and thus

$${}^{\psi}_{P}X_T = [P,\varphi\circ\psi]^T_P \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} = [P,\rho\circ\varphi]^T_P \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} = [P,\varphi]^T_P \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} = {}_PX_T. \qquad \square$$

**Proposition 4.7.** The operation $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}$ described above is a functor.

*Proof.* Suppose we have two fusion preserving maps $\psi\colon R \to S$, $\varphi\colon S \to T$ between saturated fusion systems $(\mathcal{E},R)$, $(\mathcal{F},S)$ and $(\mathcal{G},T)$. Applying Lemma 4.6 to $\varphi$, we easily confirm that

$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}$ preserves composition:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}(\varphi)\circ\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}(\psi) &= \omega_{\mathcal{E}} \times_R [R,\psi] \times_S \omega_{\mathcal{F}} \times_S [S,\varphi] \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &= \omega_{\mathcal{E}} \times_R [R,\psi] \times_S [S,\varphi] \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &= \omega_{\mathcal{E}} \times_R [R,\varphi\circ\psi] \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &= \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}(\varphi\circ\psi). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{A}F\colon \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathbb{A}\mathbb{F}_p$ be the functor taking $(G,S)$ to $\mathcal{F}_G$ and taking a virtual $(G,H)$-biset $X$ to

$$_{\mathcal{F}_G}\widehat{X}_{\mathcal{F}_H}$$

as described in Proposition 3.9. This is the functor $c$ from Theorem 1.1 of the introduction.

**Proposition 4.8.** There is a commutative diagram of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}} & \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G} \\ {\scriptstyle U}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{A}U} \\ \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{syl}}} & \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}} \\ {\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{A}F} \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}]{} & \mathbb{A}\mathbb{F}_p. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The top square clearly commutes, so we need to prove that the bottom square commutes as well.

Let $\varphi\colon G \to H$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ from $(G,S)$ to $(H,T)$, meaning that $\varphi(S) \leq T$. The functor $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{syl}}$ takes $\varphi$ to the biset $[G,\varphi]^H_G$. To understand what happens when we apply $\mathbb{A}F$ to $[G,\varphi]^H_G$, we first need to understand the restriction ${}_S([G,\varphi]^H_G)_T$ of the biset to the Sylow $p$-subgroups.

We can describe the restriction ${}_S([G,\varphi]^H_G)_T$ as composing with the inclusion biset ${}_SG_G$ on the left and the transfer biset ${}_HH_T$ on the right:

$${}_S([G,\varphi]^H_G)_T = {}_SG \times_G [G,\varphi]^H_G \times_H H_T.$$

Now ${}_SG \times_G [G,\varphi]^H_G$ simply gives us the restriction of $\varphi$ to the subgroup $S$, $[S,\varphi|_S]^H_S$. By assumption $\varphi|_S$ lands in $T \leq H$, and therefore

$${}_S([G,\varphi]^H_G)_T = [S,\varphi|_S]^H_S \times_H H_T = [S,\varphi|_S]^T_S \times_T H \times_H H_T = [S,\varphi|_S]^T_S \times_T H_T.$$

The biset ${}_TH_T$ is $\mathcal{F}_H$-stable and invertible inside $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{F}_p(\mathcal{F}_H,\mathcal{F}_H)$, and the functor $\mathbb{A}F$ applied to $[G,\varphi]^H_G$ is by Proposition 3.9 equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}F(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{syl}}(\varphi)) &= {}_S([G,\varphi]^H_G) \times_T ({}_{\mathcal{F}_H}H_{\mathcal{F}_H})^{-1} \\ &= [S,\varphi|_S]^T_S \times_T H \times_T ({}_{\mathcal{F}_H}H_{\mathcal{F}_H})^{-1} \\ &= [S,\varphi|_S]^T_S \times_T \omega_{\mathcal{F}_H} \\ &= [S,\varphi|_S]^{\mathcal{F}_H}_{\mathcal{F}_G} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}(F(\varphi))\end{aligned}$$

The penultimate equality is due to Lemma 4.6 since the restriction $\varphi|_S$ is a fusion preserving map from $\mathcal{F}_G$ to $\mathcal{F}_H$. $\square$

Let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{AG}_{\mathrm{syl}} \to \mathrm{Ho(Sp)}$ be the functor sending $G$ to $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG$ and sending $[K,\varphi]^H_G$ to the composite

$$\Sigma^\infty_+ BG \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}^K_G} \Sigma^\infty_+ BK \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty_+ B\varphi} \Sigma^\infty_+ BH,$$

where Tr is the transfer. This functor is well-understood by the solution to the Segal conjecture. It is neither full nor faithful.

Let $\beta\colon \mathbb{AF}_p \to \mathrm{Ho(Sp}_p)$ be the analogous functor for fusion systems. It sends the object $\mathcal{F}$ to $\Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathcal{F}$ and applies Proposition 3.2 to maps. The functor $\beta$ is fully faithful.

Let $(-)^\wedge_p$ be the $p$-completion functor $\mathrm{Ho(Sp)} \to \mathrm{Ho(Sp}_p)$.

**Proposition 4.9.** There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{AG} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{Ho(Sp)} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathbb{A}U}\uparrow{\scriptstyle \simeq} & & \\
\mathbb{AG}_{\mathrm{syl}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^\wedge_p} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathbb{A}F}\downarrow & & \\
\mathbb{AF}_p & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathrm{Ho(Sp}_p)
\end{array}$$

up to canonical natural equivalence and the formula for $\mathbb{A}F$ is given by Proposition 3.9.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Proposition 3.9. □

Let $\mathbb{AG}^{\mathrm{free}}(G,H)$ be the submodule of the Burnside module $\mathbb{AG}(G,H)$ generated by bisets which have a free action by both $G$ and $H$. This submodule has a basis consisting of isomorphism classes of sets of the form $[K,\varphi]^H_G$, where $\varphi$ is an injection. This includes the biset ${}_GH_H = [G,i]^H_G = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{syl}}(i)$, where $G$ acts on $H$ through an injection $i\colon G \to H$.

Since we have a natural isomorphism

$$(-)^{\mathrm{op}}\colon \mathbb{AG}^{\mathrm{free}}(G,H) \cong \mathbb{AG}^{\mathrm{free}}(H,G) \subset \mathbb{AG}(H,G)$$

sending

$${}_GX_H \mapsto ({}_GX_H)^{\mathrm{op}} = {}_HX_G$$

we find that elements of $\mathbb{AG}^{\mathrm{free}}(G,H)$ give rise to maps in $\mathbb{AG}$ not only from $G$ to $H$ but also from $H$ to $G$. The image under $(-)^{\mathrm{op}}$ of the elements of the form $[G,i]^H_G$, where $i$ is an injection, are referred to as the transfer maps.

The same story makes sense for fusion preserving injections between two fusion systems. Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ be saturated fusion systems on $p$-groups $S_1$ and $S_2$. A bifree $(\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{F}_2)$-biset is a bifree bistable $(S_1,S_2)$-biset. The isomorphism

$$(-)^{\mathrm{op}}\colon \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{AG}^{\mathrm{free}}(S_1,S_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{AG}^{\mathrm{free}}(S_2,S_1)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$(-)^{\mathrm{op}}\colon \mathbb{AF}^{\mathrm{free}}_p(\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{AF}^{\mathrm{free}}_p(\mathcal{F}_2,\mathcal{F}_1).$$

A transfer map from $\mathcal{F}_2$ to $\mathcal{F}_1$ is the image of an element of the form $[S_1,i]^{\mathcal{F}_2}_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fus}}(i)$, where $i$ is an injection of fusion systems, under the map $(-)^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Since the functor $F$ takes injection to injections, Proposition 4.8 implies that

$$\mathbb{A}F([G,i]^H_G) = [S,F(i)]^{\mathcal{F}_H}_{\mathcal{F}_G},$$

where $S \subset G$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup. Thus $\mathbb{A}F$ "takes injections to injections". It is tempting to assume that the functor $\mathbb{A}F$ will also preserve transfer maps. However, in general,

$$(\mathbb{A}F({}_GH_H))^{\mathrm{op}} \neq \mathbb{A}F(({}_GH_H)^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

To see this, let $G = e$ and let $H$ to be a non-trivial group of order prime to $p$. Consider the element $[e, i]_e^H \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(e, H)$, where $i$ is the inclusion of the identity element. This element gives rise to two maps in $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}$ the restriction ${}_eH_H$ and the transfer ${}_HH_e$, and composing those we get the element ${}_eH_e = |H| \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(e, e) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Since both $e$ and $H$ have trivial Sylow $p$-subgroups, Proposition 4.8 implies that

$$\mathbb{A}F({}_eH_H) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}_e}$$

and thus

$$(\mathbb{A}F({}_eH_H))^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}_e}.$$

However,

$$\mathbb{A}F({}_HH_e) \circ \mathbb{A}F({}_eH_H) = \mathbb{A}F({}_eH_e) = |H| \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{F}_p(e, e) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Since $\mathbb{A}F({}_eH_H) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}_e}$,

$$\mathbb{A}F(({}_eH_H)^{\mathrm{op}}) = \mathbb{A}F({}_HH_e) \neq \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}_e}.$$

It may come as a surprise to the reader to find out that confusion regarding this issue was the original motivation for this paper.

## References


[AGM] J. F. Adams, J. H. Gunawardena, and H. Miller, *The Segal conjecture for elementary abelian p-groups*, Topology **24** (1985), no. 4, 435–460. MR816524

[AKO] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar, and B. Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 391, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. MR2848834 (2012m:20015)

[Bou1] A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spaces with respect to homology*, Topology **14** (1975), 133–150, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(75)90023-3. MR0380779

[Bou2] ———, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, Topology **18** (1979), no. 4, 257–281.

[BK] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. MR0365573

[BLO2] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver, *The homotopy theory of fusion systems*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 4, 779–856. MR1992826 (2004k:55016)

[Car] G. Carlsson, *Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), no. 2, 189–224. MR763905 (86f:57036)

[CE] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999. With an appendix by David A. Buchsbaum; Reprint of the 1956 original. MR1731415

[Che] A. Chermak, *Fusion systems and localities*, Acta Math. **211** (2013), no. 1, 47–139. MR3118305

[DK] J. F. Davis and P. Kirk, *Lecture notes in algebraic topology*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 35, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR1841974

[LMM] L. G. Lewis, J. P. May, and J. E. McClure, *Classifying G-spaces and the Segal conjecture*, Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 2 (London, Ont., 1981), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 165–179. MR686144 (84d:55007a)

[May] J. P. May, *Stable maps between classifying spaces*, Conference on algebraic topology in honor of Peter Hilton (Saint John's, Nfld., 1983), Contemp. Math., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985, pp. 121–129. MR789800

[MM] J. P. May and J. E. McClure, *A reduction of the Segal conjecture*, Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 2 (London, Ont., 1981), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 209222. MR686147 (84d:55007b)

[Rag] K. Ragnarsson, *Classifying spectra of saturated fusion systems*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 195–252. MR2199459 (2007f:55013)

[RS] K. Ragnarsson and R. Stancu, *Saturated fusion systems as idempotents in the double Burnside ring*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 2, 839–904. MR3070516

[Ree1] S. P. Reeh, *The abelian monoid of fusion-stable finite sets is free*, Algebra Number Theory **9** (2015), no. 10, 2303–2324. MR3437763

[Ree2] ———, *Transfer and characteristic idempotents for saturated fusion systems*, Adv. Math. **289** (2016), 161–211. MR3439684

[Sul1] D. P. Sullivan, *Genetics of homotopy theory and the Adams conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **100** (1974), 1–79. MR0442930

[Sul2] ———, *Geometric topology: localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry*, $K$-Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 8, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005. The 1970 MIT notes; Edited and with a preface by Andrew Ranicki. MR2162361